

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

D.S., a minor by and through his guardian ad litem Elsa Acosta, individually and as successor-in-interest to William Salgado; C.S., a minor by and through his guardian ad litem Elsa Acosta, individually and as successor-in-interest to William Salgado; J.S., a minor by and through her guardian ad litem Elsa Acosta, individually and as successor-in-interest to William Salgado; M.S., a minor by and through her guardian ad litem Elsa Acosta, individually and as successor-in-interest to William Salgado,

Plaintiffs,

v.

CITY OF HUNTINGTON PARK;
NICK NICHOLS; RENE REZA;
MATTHEW RINCON; APRIL
WHEELER; and DOES 5 through 10,
inclusive,

**Case No. 2:23-cv-09412-CBM-AGR
(Consolidated with Case No. 2:24-cv-04898-CBM-AGR)**

*District Judge Consuelo B. Marshall
Magistrate Judge Alicia G. Rosenberg*

**[PROPOSED] ORDER RE:
STIPULATION AND JOINT
REQUEST FOR ORDER
MODIFYING SCHEDULING
ORDER TO CONTINUE DEADLINE
TO CONDUCT SETTLEMENT
CONFERENCE ONLY**

1 Defendants.

2 WILLIAM OMAR CASTILLO
3 MIRANDA, an individual and as
4 Successor in Interest for Decedent,
5 WILLIAM RENE SALGADO
6 MIRANDA; JUANA MARIA
7 MIRANDA, an individual and as
8 Successor in Interest for Decedent,
9 WILLIAM RENE SALGADO
10 MIRANDA; OSMAR ANTONIO
11 CASTILLO BLANDON, a minor by
12 and through Guardian ad litem,
13 EUGENIA GUADELUPE ESPINOZA
14 SALMERON; EUGENIA
15 GUADELUPE ESPINOZA
16 SALMERON, an individual; KARLA
17 VANESSA BLANDON, an individual,

18 Plaintiffs,

19 v.

20 CITY OF HUNTINGTON PARK;
21 RENE REZA, an individual; APRIL
22 WHEELER, an individual; MATTHEW
23 RINCON, an individual; NICK
24 NICHOLS, an individual; JOSE A.
25 YAMASAKI, an individual; SAUL
26 RODRIGUEZ, an individual; and
27 DOES 1 TO 10, inclusive,

28 Defendants.

1 **[PROPOSED] ORDER**

2 PURSUANT TO THE STIPULATION OF THE PARTIES, and pursuant to
3 the Court's inherent and statutory authority, including but not limited to the Court's
4 authority under the applicable Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the United
5 States District Court, Central District of California Local Rules; after due
6 consideration of all of the relevant pleadings, papers, and records in this action; and
7 upon such other evidence or argument as was presented to the Court; Good Cause
8 appearing therefor, and in furtherance of the interests of justice,

9 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

10 1. The Court hereby orders that the operative scheduling order deadline to
11 conduct a settlement conference in this case [Dkt. 41] is continued as follows:

EVENT	CURRENT DEADLINE	NEW DEADLINE
Deadline to Complete Settlement Conference	03/01/2025	04/11/2025

12 16 2. Except as specified herein above, all other dates and deadlines shall
13 remain unchanged and unaffected by the parties' stipulation and by this
14 Order.

15
16 19
17 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

20 22 DATED: _____, 2025

21
22
23
24
25 HON. CONSUELO B. MARSHALL
26 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE